



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,544	02/24/2004	Darrin Kabel	702.336	8143	
75	90 11/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
Devon A. Rolf			LIANG, REGINA		
GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1200 East 151st Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Olathe, KS 66062			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/785,	544	KABEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Regina		2674	,
	MAILING DATE of this commu			orrespondence ad	idress
Period for Reply	У				
THE MAILIN - Extensions of the after SIX (6) Mi - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply recei	IED STATUTORY PERIOD F G DATE OF THIS COMMUN ime may be available under the provision DNTHS from the mailing date of this com reply specified above is less than thirty (reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for repl yed by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·			
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>2/24/04</u> .			
. —		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.		
·					
Disposition of (Claims				
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/as) is/are allowed. s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from c			
Application Par	pers				
10)⊠ The dra Applica Replac	ecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on 24 February and may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected the content of the con	2004 is/are: a) \square accion to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a), ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) X Information Di	tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (l sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o lail Date <u>6/1/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/785,544

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,998. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are the broader version of patented claims.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,998.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,998.
A display comprising: a back light;	A display comprising: a back light;
a display module having an array of pixels that	a display module having an array of pixels that
may be individually controlled to selectively	may be individually controlled to selectively
block or pass light from the back light to create	block or pass light from the back light to create

Application/Control Number: 10/785,544

Art Unit: 2674

a desired image; and	a desired image; and	
a controller for adjusting the luminosity of the	a controller for proportionally adjusting the	
pixels of the display module to control the	luminosity of the pixels of the display module	
amount of light passing through the pixels to	to control the amount of light passing through	
control the brightness of the image, and	the pixels to control the brightness of the	
	image, and	
wherein the controller is operable to adjust the	wherein the controller is operable to adjust the	
luminosity of the pixels after the brightness of	luminosity of the pixels after the brightness of	
the back light has been adjusted to its	the back light has been adjusted to its	
approximate lowest level.	approximate lowest level.	

As can be seen above, the only difference between claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,998 in that the present claim 1 does not recite "proportionally", and this change broadens claim 1 over patent claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,998, and since the present claim 1 is in comprising format which includes any unclaimed features therefore, the present claims are not patentably distinct from the patented claims.

3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,590,561. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are the broader version of patented claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,544

Art Unit: 2674

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,590,561.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,590,561.
A display comprising: a back light;	A display comprising: a back light;
a display module having an array of pixels that	a display module having an array of pixels that
may be individually controlled to selectively	may be individually controlled to selectively
block or pass light from the back light to create	block or pass light from the back light to create
a desired image; and	a desired image; and
a controller for adjusting the luminosity of the	a controller for proportionally adjusting the
pixels of the display module to control the	luminosity of the pixels of the display module
amount of light passing through the pixels to	to control the amount of light passing through
control the brightness of the image, and	the pixels to control the brightness of the
	image, and
wherein the controller is operable to adjust the	wherein the controller is coupled with the
luminosity of the pixels after the brightness of	user interface and is operable to adjust the
the back light has been adjusted to its	luminosity of the pixels after the brightness of
approximate lowest level.	the back light has been adjusted to its
	approximate lowest level.

As can be seen above, the only difference between claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,590,561 in that the present claim 1 does not recite "proportionally" and "the controller is coupled with the user interface", and this change broadens claim 1 over patent claim

Application/Control Number: 10/785,544 Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,590,561, and since the present claim 1 is in comprising format which includes any unclaimed features therefore, the present claims are not patentably distinct from the patented claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4719. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

REGINA LIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2674

RL 11/23/04